

Mark	Descriptor
5–6	<p>A detailed conclusion is described and justified which is entirely relevant to the research question and fully supported by the data presented.</p> <p>A conclusion is correctly described and justified through relevant comparison to the accepted scientific context.</p> <p>Strengths and weaknesses of the investigation, such as limitations of the data and sources of error, are discussed and provide evidence of a clear understanding of the methodological issues* involved in establishing the conclusion.</p> <p>The student has discussed realistic and relevant suggestions for the improvement and extension of the investigation.</p>

*See exemplars in TSM for clarification.

Communication

This criterion assesses whether the investigation is presented and reported in a way that supports effective communication of the focus, process and outcomes.

Mark	Descriptor
0	The student's report does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.
1–2	<p>The presentation of the investigation is unclear, making it difficult to understand the focus, process and outcomes.</p> <p>The report is not well structured and is unclear: the necessary information on focus, process and outcomes is missing or is presented in an incoherent or disorganized way.</p> <p>The understanding of the focus, process and outcomes of the investigation is obscured by the presence of inappropriate or irrelevant information.</p> <p>There are many errors in the use of subject-specific terminology and conventions*.</p>
3–4	<p>The presentation of the investigation is clear. Any errors do not hamper understanding of the focus, process and outcomes.</p> <p>The report is well structured and clear: the necessary information on focus, process and outcomes is present and presented in a coherent way.</p> <p>The report is relevant and concise thereby facilitating a ready understanding of the focus, process and outcomes of the investigation.</p> <p>The use of subject-specific terminology and conventions is appropriate and correct. Any errors do not hamper understanding.</p>

*For example, incorrect/missing labelling of graphs, tables, images; use of units, decimal places. For issues of referencing and citations refer to the "Academic honesty" section.